Revalidation tracking model

**Inputs**
- Licence to practise and revalidation regulations
- Responsible officer regulations
- GMC requirements
  - Guidance on supporting information for appraisal and revalidation
  - GMF Framework for revalidation and appraisal
  - Effective governance to support medical revalidation
- National and local guidance
  - Guidance on appraisal
  - Guidance on reflection
  - Specialty guidance on supporting information

**Activities**
- Patients influence revalidation processes in designated bodies
- Doctors collect and reflect on supporting information
- Doctors engage in annual whole practice appraisal
- RO or SP review information about a doctor's practice
- RO or SP recommends periodically whether a doctor should be revalidated
- GMC decides whether to revalidate, defer or withdraw a doctor's licence

**Outcomes**
- Patients' experience of the care they receive informs doctors' practice
- Designated bodies and employers generate and share information about a doctor's practice
- Appraisers support doctors to identify their strengths and areas to develop, which inform their annual POPs
- Where concerns about a doctor's practice are identified they are addressed
- Doctors make positive changes to their practice
- Licensed doctors continue to demonstrate their fitness to practise
- Licences are withdrawn from doctors who fail to demonstrate their fitness to practise

**Direct impacts**
- Licensed doctors are part of an assurance system which regularly checks they are up to date and fit to practise
- Licensed doctors improve their practice and professionalism
- Licensed doctors have greater confidence in their practice

**Wider impacts**
- The confidence patients and public have in the profession is justified
- Improved, safer patient care