Survey design - best practice guidelines

This document outlines some of the main considerations in survey question and answer design.

Key considerations include:

1. Brevity
2. Clarity and consistency
3. Non-leading
4. Prestige bias
5. Quantitative statements
6. Double-barrelling
7. Answer categories
8. Frequency categories

**Brevity**

You should design your questions to be short and easy to understand. And use plain English where possible. This will allow the respondent to complete the questionnaire quickly. They should understand what is being asked on the first reading.

A quick test is to ask a lay person to read the question; if they do not understand it the first time you should simplify the question.

**For example**

**Q** How would you rate the quality of the educational supervision you received during the whole time you were on this course?

This question is long and uses words that are not necessary for the question to be understood or answered.

In this case the question should be shortened as follows.

**Q** How would you rate the educational supervision during this course?
**Clarity and consistency**

Your questions should be clear, succinct and unambiguous. The goal is to eliminate the chance that the question will mean different things to different people. To this end, it is best to phrase questions empirically if possible and to avoid the use of unnecessary adjectives.

A key standard for a good question is that all the people answering it should understand it in a consistent way and that it should mean to them what the researcher expected it to.

Ambiguous words such as ‘usually’ or ‘frequently’ have no specific meaning and need qualifying. You should avoid using them in your questions.

**For example**

**Q** Do you usually read a newspaper?  
**A** Yes/No  

One person could answer yes to this question and read a newspaper once per week, while someone else who reads a newspaper everyday would also answer yes to this question.  

This question should be reworded as follows.  

**Q** On how many days during the last seven, if any, have you read a newspaper?  
**A** Everyday, four to six days, one to three days, none  

This quantifies the time period to be covered and also the answer categories.

A common reason for questions not being clear is asking about too many aspects in the one question. This is covered in double barrelling below.

**Non-leading**

A leading question is one that forces or implies a certain type of answer. The way a question is phrased can make it leading as most adjectives, verbs, and nouns in English have either a positive or negative connotation.

You should check each question for leading phrases.

**For example**

The use of wording such as ‘good’, ‘be able’ and ‘enabled’ can be leading.  

**Q** How good was this course?  

Regardless of the answer categories the question wording implies that the course was good.
The question should read:

Q How good or poor was this course?

This balances the question and does not imply a positive or negative response

A common way in which leading questions are used in surveys is with the phrase ‘how many’ or ‘how often’.

Q How many times have you worked over eight hours a day, in the last five days you have worked?

OR

Q How often have you worked over eight hours a day, in the last five you have worked?

The ‘how many’ or ‘how often’ implies that the respondent has worked late in the last five days. If they have not worked late the question implies that the researcher expects that they have, so they are more likely to conform and answer that they have worked late even if this is not correct (this could also introduce prestige bias, see below).

The question wording could be altered to the following.

Q How often, if at all, have you worked over eight hours a day, in the last five days you have worked?

However the question could also be reworked to the following.

Q Thinking about the last five days you have worked, for how many hours did you work each day?

**TICK ONE BOX IN EACH ROW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Under eight hours</th>
<th>Eight to nine hours</th>
<th>Over nine hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day two</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day three</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day four</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day five</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This design identifies whether people have worked over the eight hours in the last days but also gives the frequency of this.
**Prestige bias**

Prestige bias is the tendency for respondents to answer in a certain way to conform to social norms or peer groups.

The above question is a good example of prestige bias in that trainees may assume that part of the trainee role is working long hours.

**Quantitative statements**

You should avoid using absolute, all inclusive or exclusive words in your questions. Very few people will agree with statements that include these types of word and this will result in low variance. Examples include:

- all
- always
- each
- every
- everybody
- never
- nobody
- none
- nothing

This is well illustrated by an example from the British Social Attitudes survey.

**For example**

**Q** (To what extent do you agree or disagree that...) people who sell cannabis should always be prosecuted?

**A** Agree strongly, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, disagree strongly, don’t know.

It is the quantitative term in the question, ‘always’, that cause the problems by introducing ambiguity into the ‘disagree response. Take someone who chooses ‘Disagree strongly’ with this statement. Do they strongly disagree only with the policy of prosecuting all dealers, or should we infer that they think cannabis dealers should never be prosecuted?

The key point is that questions asking respondents to agree or disagree with statements in this way (known as Likert items) are intended to capture the extent of agreement or disagreement with an idea, and not to measure some sort of quantity or ‘hidden variable’. So you should avoid using quantitative words such as those above in this type of question.

**Double-barrelling**

If the wording of a question can be broken down into two or more separate questions, and a respondent could answer those separate questions in different ways, then it will be impossible to analyse the responses to the combined question without ambiguity.

You should aim to ask about only one variable per question.
For example

Q Do you agree or disagree that patient handover at shift change took place safely and reliably?

A Agree strongly, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, disagree strongly, don't know.

This is a double-barrelled question. The handover may have been reliable, in that it happened at the same time each day and the same people were involved, however the trainee may think it was not particularly safe as handover was discussed with junior staff for example.

The question should be split into two questions, asking each variable in a separate question.

Answer categories

The design of your answer categories is just as important as the design of your question. Answer categories can introduce bias, be unclear and leading and generate invalid data.

For example

A closed format question must supply answers that not only cover the whole range of responses, but that are also equally distributed throughout the range. All answers should be equally likely. An example where the answer categories are not equal would be a question that supplied these answer choices:

- superb
- excellent
- great
- good
- fair
- not so great

Balancing a scale with the same number of positive and negative responses is very important; limiting the scale as shown above to mainly positive responses will introduce bias.

This scale should be:

- very good
- good
- neither good nor poor
- poor
- very poor
- no opinion

In self-completion attitude questions, Dillman (2000) recommends an even number of response categories, with the last one being a ‘don’t know/no opinion’ option and a ‘neutral’ option as the central value of the remaining (uneven number) options. Labelling all of the values and not leaving choices to be inferred from their place in the overall pattern is also recommended.

With all semantic scales, the wording of the ‘anchor statements’ (the statements at the end of the scale) is crucial to the distribution of data that is likely to be achieved. A five point bipolar scale that goes from ‘extremely satisfied’ to ‘extremely dissatisfied’ is likely to discourage respondents
from using the end points and to concentrate the distribution on the middle three points. If the endpoints were ‘very satisfied’ and ‘very dissatisfied’ the end points would be used by more respondents and the data would be more widely distributed across the scale. This can make the data more discriminatory between items. As a general rule, the stronger the anchors, the more points are required on the scale to obtain discrimination.

**Frequency questions**

When asking about the frequency of a variable, you should quantify the scale and keep it on the same continuum.

**For example**

When asking a question about frequency, rather than supplying choices that are open to interpretation such as:

- very often
- often
- sometimes
- rarely
- never

it is better to quantify the choices, such as:

- every day or more often
- two to six times a week
- once a week
- less than once per week
- never

Scales should never be mixed. The scale below uses a mixture of quantifying scales (daily, weekly, monthly, never) and those open to interpretation (rarely). It is also not on a continuum as you would expect with options in a timescale:

- daily
- weekly
- monthly
- rarely
- never

If someone wants to answer every two weeks for example this scale does not offer this option. Timescales should be mutually exclusive and on a continuum.
You should test every question in a questionnaire or survey to ensure that none of the factors identified above are contained within the question or answer categories. This will improve the reliability and validity of your data.

The factors highlighted above demonstrate the most common errors in question and answer design, however, this list is not exhaustive.

**Remember**

**Brevity**
Keep your questions short. Cut out any unnecessary words.

**Clarity and consistency**
Avoid ambiguous language including words such as ‘usually’ or ‘frequently’.

**Non-leading**
Avoid using leading phrases such as ‘how often’ or ‘how good’.

**Prestige bias**
Be aware that some respondents will exaggerate where they think a response is desirable (such as working long hours).

**Quantitative statements**
Avoid using absolute language such as ‘all’ or ‘always’.

**Double-barrelling**
Don’t ask more than one variable in a question.

**Answer categories**
Make sure your response categories are balanced and include all the possible responses, avoid strong anchor statements ‘extremely satisfied’.

**Frequency categories**
Make sure your response categories are quantified, mutually exclusive and on a continuum.
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