

General Medical Council

Godfrey Nkanu Nkanu EMIKU

Doctor

GMC reference number: 4693558



Registered with a licence to practise



This registrant has been given a **warning**. [View details...](#)

GP

This doctor is on the GP Register

From 07 Aug 2006

SR

This doctor is not on the Specialist Register

This doctor is subject to revalidation;

Designated body NHS England East of England
Commissioning Region

Responsible officer Ian Gibson

Profession Doctor

Registered qualification LRCS 2000 Royal College of Surgeons of
England

LMSSA 2000 Society of Apothecaries of London

LRCP 2000 Royal College of Physicians of London

Provisional registration date 11 May 2000

Full registration date 10 Aug 2001

Gender Male

General information

Substantive, honorary and fixed term consultants working in the NHS are required to be on the Specialist Register, however there are exemptions. Please refer to the National Health Service (Appointment of Consultants) Regulations 1996, as amended.

Doctors working in general practice in the UK health service are required to be on the General Practitioner Register. Please refer to the relevant NHS performers lists regulations.

[More information about employment check requirements](#)

Annual retention fee due date: 10 Aug 2026

Registrant history

Fitness to practise history (Since 20 October 2005)

From

To

Status

Details

20 Aug 2025

20 Aug 2026

[This registrant has been given a warning - view](#) ▼

Warnings on the registrant's registration

Warnings are issued to indicate when a registrant's behaviour or performance is significantly below the standards expected, but when restricting a registrant's practice is not necessary. [See more on warnings](#).

From 20 Aug 2025 to 20 Aug 2026

On 17 February 2024 Dr Emiku attended a home visit during which his driver carried out a breast examination on a female patient. Dr Emiku failed to: - record details regarding who had obtained patient consent and who had carried out that examination; - undertake an examination himself in order to confirm clinical findings; - make the patient aware of the inappropriate examination; - discuss the driver's actions with him after the consultation; - raise safeguarding concerns in relation to the driver with the organisation for whom they were both working. This conduct does not meet with the standards required of a doctor. It risks bringing the profession into disrepute and it must not be repeated. The required standards are set out in Good medical practice and associated guidance. In this case, paragraphs 7(b), 45, 69, and 75 of Good medical practice is particularly relevant. 7. In providing clinical care you must: b. carry out a physical examination where necessary 45. You must be open and honest with patients if things go wrong. If a patient under your care has suffered harm or distress, you must follow our guidance on Openness and honesty when things go wrong: the professional duty of candour, and you should: a. put matters right, if possible b. apologise (apologising does not, of itself, mean that you are admitting legal liability for what's happened) c. explain fully and promptly what has happened and the likely short-term and long-term effects d. report the incident in line with your organisation's policy so it can be reviewed or investigated as appropriate – and lessons can be learnt and patients protected from harm in the future. 69. You must make sure that formal records of your work (including patients' records) are clear, accurate, contemporaneous and legible.

75. You must act promptly if you think that patient safety or dignity is, or may be, seriously compromised. c. If you have concerns that a colleague may not be fit to practise and may be putting patients at risk, you must ask for advice from a colleague, your defence body, or us. If you are still concerned, you must report this, in line with your workplace policy and our more detailed guidance on Raising and acting on concerns about patient safety. Whilst this failing in itself is not so serious as to require any restriction on Dr Emiku's registration, it is necessary in response to issue this formal warning.

Hearings (Since 20 October 2005)

<u>Date</u>	<u>Hearing</u>	<u>Details</u>
20 Aug 2025	Investigation Committee	

Registration and licensing history (Since 20 October 2005)

<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Status</u>
16 Nov 2009	Present	Registered with a licence to practise
20 Oct 2005	16 Nov 2009	Registered

Please note:

All doctors who were registered before 20 October 2005 have their registration 'From' date set to 20 October 2005.

This is the date when the register went online.

If you need to know whether the doctor was registered before 20 October 2005 please [contact us](#).

Results of search on: 13 Jun 2026 at 12:07 BST

The details shown are valid at the date and time of the search only.