Guidance about the impact of fitness to practise processes on a doctor’s revalidation

1. This guidance describes how we make decisions about a doctor’s revalidation, if they are subject to our fitness to practise processes.

2. Information for doctors who have been referred into our fitness to practise procedures is available on our website.

Revalidation and fitness to practise

3. If a doctor is subject to our fitness to practise processes we may decide to delay notifying a doctor of their submission date, or defer making a decision about their revalidation. However, the doctor should continue to engage with revalidation in line with our statutory guidance while they remain licensed*, unless they have a reasonable excuse for being unable to do so (such as ill-health).

4. The GMC (Licence to Practise and Revalidation) Regulations 2012 (as amended) (‘the regulations’) state that we are not required to give a doctor notice of a revalidation submission date if they are subject to fitness to practise proceedings and the Registrar does not consider it is possible to evaluate their fitness to practise while they are subject to those proceedings.†

5. If a doctor has already received notice of a submission date the regulations allow us to cancel that date, or if the date has passed to defer taking any further steps in relation to the doctor’s revalidation until the Registrar considers reasonable.‡ So, we can delay making a decision about the doctor’s revalidation, even if we have received a recommendation about that doctor.

6. Our fitness to practise processes only impact on a doctor’s revalidation in the following circumstances, namely, where there is an open investigation or open or provisional enquiry.

* A doctor who is suspended from the register is treated as not being registered for the purposes of revalidation and unregistered doctors are not required to revalidate (Medical Act 1983 (s35E(3)).
† Regulation 6(2)(b).
‡ Regulation 6(15).
An open investigation

7 Where a doctor is subject to an open fitness to practise investigation it is unlikely that we could evaluate their fitness to practise and, other than in exceptional circumstances, we will:

a delay issuing the doctor with notice of a submission date until the final outcome of the fitness to practise investigation is known. For doctors without a connection we will continue to issue notice of the date when they must submit an annual return, but will delay issuing notice of the need to undergo the revalidation assessment;

b prevent the Responsible Officer (RO)* from being able to submit a recommendation for the doctor until the final outcome of the investigation is known;†

c if the doctor’s RO informs us that, despite being able to do so, the doctor is not engaging with revalidation, issue notice of their submission date to allow the RO to make a recommendation of non-engagement. We would continue the process of withdrawing the doctor’s licence, unless there were exceptional circumstances;

d defer making any decision to revalidate the doctor until the outcome of the investigation is known.

An open or provisional enquiry

8 If there is an open or provisional enquiry about a doctor’s fitness to practise it is unlikely that we could evaluate their fitness to practise and, other than in exceptional circumstances, we will:

a continue to issue the doctor with notice of their submission date. For doctors without a connection, continue to issue notice of the date when they must submit an annual return and of the need to undergo the revalidation assessment (if applicable);

b continue to receive recommendations about their revalidation, and annual returns for doctors without a connection,

c continue to process any recommendations to defer or of non-engagement,

d defer making any decision to revalidate the doctor until the outcome is known.

* Where we refer to an RO in this guidance this also applies to a Suitable Person.
† For doctors without a connection we would expect the doctor to continue to submit annual returns.
Impact of the outcomes of fitness to practise processes

9 Our fitness to practise processes conclude with a range of outcomes. The impact on a doctor’s revalidation will depend on the outcome and these are described below.

No impairment

10 If a fitness to practise panel finds a doctor not impaired the doctor’s revalidation would proceed as usual.

Warnings

11 Warnings are published on the List of Registered Medical Practitioners (LRMP) and we will disclose a warning to anyone who enquires for five years after it is issued. After five years, the warning is no longer published on LRMP but it will be kept on record and disclosed to employers on request, indefinitely. Warnings do not prevent a doctor from holding a licence to practise and do not place any restrictions on their registration. A warning has no impact on a doctor engaging with revalidation and meeting the revalidation requirements and as such their revalidation would proceed as usual.

Impaired but no sanction imposed

12 If a doctor’s fitness to practise is found to be impaired by a fitness to practise panel, but no sanction is applied, this has no impact on a doctor meeting the revalidation requirements and the doctor’s revalidation would proceed as usual.

Conditions imposed or undertakings agreed

13 Conditions or undertakings on a doctor’s registration do not prevent the doctor from revalidating as long as they continue to comply with them. When making a recommendation to revalidate the RO is asked to confirm that they are satisfied the doctor is complying with any effective conditions or undertakings attached to their registration.*

14 A doctor’s revalidation would be unaffected unless we were informed that they had breached their conditions or undertakings. In the case of a breach, a new fitness to practise investigation would be opened and, other than in exceptional circumstances, we would follow the guidance at paragraph 7, until the final outcome of that investigation is known.
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Erasure or suspension

15 If the outcome is that a doctor’s name is erased or suspended from the medical register, their licence would be withdrawn at the same time so they cannot revalidate.* They can only revalidate if and when they are restored to the register. Unless the doctor requests otherwise, their licence will be restored at the same time that their name is restored to the register.

Setting a new submission date

16 Once the outcome of a fitness to practise process is known, and if the doctor remains registered with a licence, and their submission date has passed or is within 121 days, we will determine a new submission date for that doctor. Our guidance for setting submission dates after a fitness to practise process describes the factors we would consider in determining this new date.

Appealing a fitness to practise outcome

17 If a doctor appeals the outcome of a fitness to practise investigation the tribunal’s order would not take effect and the doctor should be able to practise and to engage with revalidation.† We would treat the doctor's revalidation in the same way as we do during an open investigation and continue not to accept recommendations about the doctor, and to defer making any decision to revalidate the doctor, until an appeal period has expired, or any appeal brought has concluded.

18 If a tribunal imposes an immediate suspension order this would take effect immediately until the appeal is concluded, and the doctor would not be required to revalidate during that time.

19 If the tribunal imposes an immediate order for conditional registration to cover an appeal against a substantive order for conditions, the doctor should be able to engage with revalidation as usual, as long as they continue to comply with these conditions.
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* Where a doctor's registration is erased or suspended, their licence is automatically withdrawn (Medical Act, section 41C).
† Doctors have 28 days to appeal against a sanction issued by a Medical Practitioners Tribunal. We may also appeal a decision within 28 days of notification of the decision. The Professional Standards Authority (PSA) can refer a decision to the High Court or Court of Sessions within 28 days, following the doctor's 28 day appeal period.