Evidence of English language skills: Guidance for European doctors applying for a licence to practise

1 The GMC (Licence to Practise and Revalidation) Regulations Order of Council Consolidated (the Regulations) gives the Registrar powers to seek evidence or information about a person’s knowledge of English and to refuse to grant a licence to practise to a person where this is not provided.

2 This guidance is made under s29G (2A) of the Medical Act 1983. It describes the factors the Registrar will take into account to determine whether a doctor has demonstrated the necessary knowledge of English to practise safely in the UK.

3 Regulation 3(1B) of the Regulations state that the Registrar must take account of guidance published by the General Council when determining whether a person has demonstrated the necessary knowledge of English.

4 The Registrar can appoint deputy and assistant registrars to act for him in any manner, and has delegated the functions outlined in this guidance to GMC staff to undertake on his behalf.

Background

5 To practise safely in the UK doctors must have sufficient knowledge of English.

6 Our core guidance Good medical practice (2013) states that doctors ‘must have the necessary knowledge of the English language to provide a good standard of practice and care in the UK’¹. GMP also highlights a number of key reasons why it is essential that doctors have the necessary language skills to practise in the UK. At a minimum these include the need for doctors to be able to communicate effectively with patients and relatives; work in partnership with other healthcare colleagues; and clearly and accurately document their work. If a doctor does not have the necessary knowledge of English there is a risk that they may not be able to fulfil these requirements.

¹ Good Medical Practice Domain 1: Knowledge, skills and performance
When we will request evidence of knowledge of language

7 This section describes how we will apply the powers we have been given to request further evidence or information from European doctors² to determine whether they have the necessary knowledge of English³. We will only require evidence should a concern arise during the registration process.

8 There are multiple opportunities for European doctors to demonstrate their proficiency in English:

   a) if we have confidence in a doctor's English proficiency on the basis of the standard application process⁴, no further evidence or information regarding language proficiency will be requested;

   b) if we have doubts as to a doctor's proficiency in English, further evidence or information will be requested and considered;

   c) if doubts remain after the provision of such further evidence or information, there is a further opportunity for doctors to provide additional or alternative language proficiency evidence which will be considered.

9 In addition, the Registrar has an overriding discretion to consider any credible evidence.

² For the purposes of this document, the term ‘European doctor’ refers to a doctor who is:
   • A national of a relevant European state (this means a national of a member state of the European Economic Area or Switzerland), or
   • Not a national of a relevant European state but is entitled to be treated no less favourably for these purposes because he or she benefits under the Citizenship Directive from an enforceable Community right

³ In drawing up this guidance we have had regard to the guidance provided by the EU Commission (e.g. in its Code of Conduct and its User Guide in relation to Directive 2005/36), and the Court of Justice of the European Union.

⁴ The Regulations apply to doctors applying for registration with a licence to practise, and registered doctors applying for a first time licence. Doctors are asked to provide a range of evidence, including: qualification details; registration with medical regulators over last five years; details of medical and non-medical work experience over last five years.
Factors we will take into account when requesting evidence of necessary knowledge of English

10 We will review the information provided by doctors as part of the standard application process, and based on that may in some cases ask for further information in relation to knowledge of English.

11 For example, if a doctor has a recent\(^5\) primary medical qualification (PMQ) that has been taught and examined in English\(^6\), or the PMQ is from a country where English is the first and native language\(^7\) (e.g. Republic of Ireland) or a doctor has recent experience of practising in a country where English is the first and native language, then we are unlikely to seek any further evidence.

12 However we are likely to ask for further evidence or information from doctors who do not hold recent PMQs that have been taught and examined in English, or do not have recent experience of practising in a country where English is the first and native language.

13 If during the registration process a situation arises where a doctor requires the services of a translator or another person in order to communicate in English with us, we will review any language evidence that has already been provided. In these cases we are likely to request further evidence of the doctor's knowledge of English before granting a licence to practise.

---

\(^5\) When we refer to ‘recent’ in this guidance, we mean evidence relating to English language proficiency that is less than two years old at the point of making an application to the GMC. The British Council advises that two years is the accepted period for an individual to remain proficient in English if the language is used regularly. Proficiency in English deteriorates after two years if it is not used on a regular basis.

\(^6\) By this we mean that the entire course was taught and examined in English. We will be satisfied if at least 75% of any clinical interaction, including personal contact with patients, their families and other healthcare professionals, which took place as part of the course of study, was conducted in English. We may contact the awarding institution and/ or relevant medical regulator and / or Ministry of Health to confirm this. If this criterion cannot be fully met we may ask doctors to provide additional evidence to demonstrate their knowledge of English. This may include achieving our required scores in the academic version of IELTS or the medicine version of OET.

\(^7\) The countries we currently accept as having English as a first and native language can be found here: [http://www.gmc-uk.org/doctors/registration_applications/english_first_language.asp](http://www.gmc-uk.org/doctors/registration_applications/english_first_language.asp). Our list of countries is modelled on the UK Border Agency’s list of ‘majority English speaking’ countries. We maintain and update our list on a regular basis. When we are advised that a country’s first and native language is English, we contact the relevant Ministry of Health and the medical regulator to seek evidence of this.
Criteria for assessing language evidence

14 Where evidence or information is requested in relation to knowledge of English, our criteria for assessing that evidence and information are as set out below. The criteria reflect our overriding objective of enhancing patient safety and provide us with adequate assurance that doctors practising in the UK can interact and communicate appropriately with patients, their relatives and other healthcare professionals.

15 Where evidence or information is requested we will consider language evidence provided by doctors against the following tests:

   a) is the evidence recent\(^8\), objective, independent and robust?
   b) does the evidence clearly demonstrate that a doctor can read, write and interact with patients, relatives and healthcare professionals in English?
   c) is it reasonable to expect the GMC to be able to verify the evidence?\(^9\)

16 In circumstances where doctors submit evidence or information regarding English language proficiency that does not meet our criteria, we will then seek and consider additional or alternative evidence.

17 Based on our long experience of assessing evidence in relation to satisfactory language proficiency and detailed research conducted on our behalf, currently the most reliable evidence that doctors can provide to satisfy us of the appropriate level of knowledge of English is achieving the required scores in the academic version of the IELTS test or the medicine version of the OET test.

\(^8\) See footnote 5

\(^9\) The evidence provided may include reaching the requisite score in an academic test but should otherwise be relevant to medical practice and we should be able to verify it, for example through contact with recognised medical institutions, healthcare employers, regulators, relevant ministries or government departments. See the indicative examples of the types of evidence we are likely to accept, set out in paragraphs 21 onwards.
Types of evidence we are likely to accept

18 We recognise that there are many different ways in which doctors may be able to demonstrate language proficiency. The Registrar has the power to exercise discretion and consider all types of credible evidence, which meet the parameters of the above criteria.

19 We review our English language evidence requirements on a regular basis to ensure they remain suitable and give full consideration to new sources of evidence that can provide the necessary assurance of a doctor’s English language capability.

20 However, based on our long expertise in assessing language evidence from international medical graduates we have set out the types of evidence we routinely accept as demonstrating that a doctor has the necessary knowledge of English to practise in the UK.

Evidence type 1: A recent\(^{10}\) overall score of 7.5 in the academic version of the International English Language Testing System (IELTS) or at least a grade ‘B’ in each testing area (speaking, listening, reading and writing). Doctors must achieve no less than 7 in each of the four areas of reading, writing, listening and speaking. The scores must be achieved in one sitting of the test.

21 The majority of doctors who have to demonstrate their knowledge of English currently do so by achieving the required scores in the academic version of the IELTS test or the medicine version of the OET test. IELTS or OET are an objective method of demonstrating proficiency in English and is widely accepted by many employers, regulators and professional bodies\(^{11}\).

22 We may accept IELTS or OET test scores that are more than two years old if the doctor can provide evidence to demonstrate that their language skills have not deteriorated in that time, for example by having subsequently

---

\(^{10}\) See footnote 5

\(^{11}\) Currently the academic version of IELTS or the medicine version of OET are the only test of language proficiency that we routinely accept as evidence of knowledge of English. However, we recognise that alternatives are becoming available and are exploring the reliability of these for our purposes. We will give full consideration to accepting any suitable alternatives to IELTS or OET if we can be confident that these methods provide the necessary assurance of a doctor’s English language capability.
undertaken a postgraduate course of study which has been taught and examined in English\textsuperscript{12}, or evidence that they have subsequently worked in a country where English is the first and native language\textsuperscript{13}.

**Evidence type 2:**

A recent\textsuperscript{14} primary medical qualification (PMQ) that has been taught and examined in English.

23 We require the PMQ to be taught and examined in English so we have sufficient assurance that the doctor has experience in an English speaking medical environment. Communication and interaction are key components of safe medical practice in the UK. To assure us that doctors have experience in these essential areas, a majority of the doctor’s clinical interaction, including personal contact with patients, relatives and other healthcare professionals must have been conducted in English\textsuperscript{15}.

24 Where a PMQ meets the above criteria but is not recent, we will ask for evidence that demonstrates the doctor’s experience of practising for the preceding two years\textsuperscript{16} in a country where English is the first and native language\textsuperscript{17}.

25 We will ask all employers over the preceding two years to provide original references detailing the doctor’s practice in English. This provides assurance that the doctor’s experience of practising in an English speaking medical environment is recent and their language skills are up to date.

26 The following are examples of what we mean by practice.

a) Assessing, diagnosing, treating, reporting or giving advice in a medical capacity (for example as a member of a panel or committee, as an expert witness, or in the context of medical defence union work); and/or

b) Public health medicine, teaching, research, medical or health management in hospitals, clinics, general practice and community and institutional contexts (for example in a university, Royal College or company) whether paid or voluntary; and/or

\textsuperscript{12} See footnote 6
\textsuperscript{13} See footnote 7
\textsuperscript{14} See footnote 5
\textsuperscript{15} See footnote 6
\textsuperscript{16} When assessing the evidence provided we will take into account the nature of the practice and whether it has been continuous or periodic over the two years.
\textsuperscript{17} See footnote 7
c) Signing any medical certificate required for statutory purposes, such as death and cremation certificates; and/or

d) Prescribing medicines, the sale or supply of which is restricted by law to prescription by medical practitioners; and

e) In all cases, using the knowledge, skills, attitudes and competences initially obtained for the MB ChB degree (or equivalent) and built upon in postgraduate and continuing medical education.

Evidence type 3:
A recent\textsuperscript{18} pass in a language test for registration with a medical regulatory authority in a country where the first and native language is English\textsuperscript{19}.

27 We will contact the medical regulatory authority to find out which language test was used and their requirements before accepting this evidence\textsuperscript{20}.

28 Where the pass in another regulator’s language test is older than two years we may ask for evidence that demonstrates the doctor’s experience of practising for the preceding two years\textsuperscript{21} in a country where English is the first and native language\textsuperscript{22}.

29 We will ask all employers over the preceding two years to provide original references detailing the applicant’s practice in English\textsuperscript{23}.

Evidence type 4:
An offer of employment from a UK healthcare organisation.

30 We require written confirmation from the UK healthcare organisation that an offer of employment has been made.

31 The healthcare organisation must be a designated body\textsuperscript{24}.

\textsuperscript{18} See footnote 5

\textsuperscript{19} See footnote 7

\textsuperscript{20} In circumstances where the regulatory authority operates a different standard of language test than the GMC, or we are unable to verify the results, we may ask doctors to provide additional evidence to demonstrate their knowledge of English. This may include achieving our required scores in the academic version of IELTS or the medicine version of OET.

\textsuperscript{21} When assessing the evidence provided we will take into account the nature of the practice and whether it has been continuous or periodic over the two years.

\textsuperscript{22} See footnote 7

\textsuperscript{23} See paragraph 26 for examples of what we mean by practice.

\textsuperscript{24} Information about designated bodies and a list of UK designated bodies can be found here: http://www.gmc-uk.org/help/list_of_designated_bodies.htm
32 We require the appointing clinician to complete a structured English language reference form detailing the applicant’s skills in all four language domains of reading, writing, listening and speaking, and how these were assessed during the recruitment process.

33 The appointing clinician will then seek confirmation from the Responsible Officer for the employing organisation that s/he endorses the recruitment processes the employer has in place to ensure that the applicant has the necessary knowledge of English to practise safely in the UK.